SILVERFILDENTAL PRODUCTS Sdn. Bhd.

TECHNICAL REPORT

REPORT NO: S01-SPSD

Determination of Presence of Excess Hg in Dental Amalgams

Submitted By



CENTRE FOR IONICS Physics Department, Faculty of Science, University of Malaya, 50603, Kuala Lumpur TEL: +60 3 7967-4406 FAX: +60 3 7967-4146

TECHNICAL REPORT

REPORT NO: S01-SPSD Title of Project: Determination of Presence of Excess Hg in Dental Amalgams Client: Client: Silverfildental Products Sdn. Bhd. Date: 05 June 2015

Date:		Prepared by:
	05 June 2015	
		Dr. Vengadaesvaran a/l Balakrishnan
		(Senior Research Officer)

1. INTRODUCTION

Significantly Malaysia produced the first mercury free dental amalgam namely Silverfil Argentum. It is manufactured purely from silver particle and mercury. According to the manufacture, Silverfil Argentum has been tested and conforms the following standards; ISO 1559: 1995 (Dental Material-Alloys for Dental Amalgam, EN 1641:2004 (Dentistry- Medical Devices for Dentistry) and ISO 24234:2004 (Dental Mercury). According to the manufacturer, the director of the Oral Health Divison of the Ministry of Health Malaysia issued directive that all the government dental hospitals and clinic shall use SilverfilTM as filling material due to the absence of excess mercury. Therefore, SilverfilTM currently is used by dental clinicians in Malaysia as an alternative material for traditional dental amalgam. In unpublished work, according to the manufacturer, SilverfilTM has been tested confirming absence of free mercury in the setting amalgam by using Xray mapping and metallographic examinations at City University, London in 1990. Hence, similar results obtained by using optical absorption and EDX at the Institute of Advance Studies, University Malaya in 1995. Further work has been carried out for in-vivo cytotoxicity and genotoxicity tests of SilverfilTM. Both studies have been tested following international guidelines, ISO 10993-5 and ISO 10993 respectively. The studies confirmed that SilverfilTM do not have any cytotoxycity or genotoxicity effect. According to the manufacturer, SilverfilTM amalgam has been tested for biocompatibility following international guidelines, ISO 10993-10 and has proven to be biocompatible. In recent years, there are some studies has been conducted to further confirmed the free mercury in SilverfilTM amalgam. An electrochemical study was conducted by N.H.A Kasim et al. shown that mercury diffusion rate into silver is higher until no excess Hg after amalgamation process complete (Kassim, Yahya, Radzi, Basirun, & Ghani, 2007). In another study, it has been proven that the mercury used for amalgamation process is completely absorbed by the silver and it does not contain any unreacted

mercury (excess mercury) upon setting (Kassim et al., 2007; Ramasindarum, Balakrishnan, Kasim, & Yarmo, 2013).

SCOPE OF WORK

The purpose of this work is to establish a comparison with regards to the evidence of excess Hg in the final amalgam (set amalgam). The comparative materials chosen are as follows:

Properties	Silverfil	GS80	Dispersalloy
	amalgam	amalgam	amalgam
Alloy composition	60 % Ag 40 % Ag ₃ Hg ₂ 340 mg powder (Ag + AgHg)	40 % Ag 31.3 % Sn 28.7 % Cu 360 mg Hg	69 % Ag 18 % Sn 12 % Cu 1% Zn 400 mg Hg
	600 mg Hg		
Manufacturer	Silverfildental Products sdn Bhd, Malaysia	SDI, Australia	Dentsply, USA
Lot No.	SF110801	100222119	100517

2. <u>RESULTS</u>

Comparison of the physical properties of SilverfilTM amalgam and two

commercially available dental amalgams

XRD analysis

The XRD pattern of SilverfilTM amalgam is shown in Figure 1. The diffractogram shows that this amalgam is in the form of crystalline. All the diffraction peaks with (411) peak having the highest intensity could be readily indexed the value reported in JCPDS11-0067. This suggested that SilverfilTM amalgam is in the form of isometric (cubic)-hexaoctahedral with a unit cell of 10.06 Å which is similar to gamma moschellandsbergite, a natural mineral. All the peaks of Silverfil amalgam are similar to the gamma-moschellandsbergite which has the molecular formula Ag₂Hg₃. This infers that Silverfil is stable because of the fraction of stable compounds as Ag₂Hg₃.



Figure 1: XRD diffraction pattern of Silverfil[™] amalgam





Figure 2: XRD pattern of two commercial amalgams; A. GS80 and B. Dispersalloy

3.

On the other hand, Figure 2 represents the XRD pattern of the two commercial amalgams; GS80 and Dispersalloy. Both amalgams are in the crystalline form. For

GS80 amalgam and the diffraction peaks matches a few value reported in the standard. The major peaks could be readily indexed the value reported in JCPDS 71-0529 which indicated the presences of Ag₂Hg_{3.02}. The small intensity peaks matches the value reported in data base JCPDS 74-0039 which represent of Hg and the peak at 43.36° matches the value reported for copper (JCPDS 89-2838). Similar observation found for Dispersalloy amalgam, the main diffraction peaks matches the peaks value reported in JCPDS34-0624 which indicates the presence of AgHg and a broader peak at 43.3° matches the value reported for copper (JCPDS 89-2838).

X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)

The wide scan spectrum of SilverfilTM is represented in Figure 3 and the relative atomic percentage of the elements is detailed in Table 1. The narrow scan of silver, mercury and tin are shown in Figure 4, 5 and 6 respectively. The wide scan of XPS analysis of SilverfilTM indicates the presence of expected photoelectron peaks of O 1s, C 1s, Sn 3d, Ag 3d and Hg 4f with relative atomic concentration of 24.19 %, 47.85 %, 13.18 %, 10.17 % and 4.60 % respectively.



Figure 3: The wide scan XPS spectra of SilverfilTM

Element	Peak Quantified	Relative atomic concenteration (%)
Oxygen	O 1s	24.19
Tin	Sn 3d	13.18
Silver	Ag 3d	10.17
Carbon	C 1s	47.85
Mercury	Hg 4f	4.60

Table 1: The relative atomic concentration of $Silverfil^{TM}$



Narrow scan of Ag 3d (Figure 4) shows doublet pair which arises due to spin-orbital coupling $3d_{5/2}$ and $3d_{3/2}$. The binding energy for the Ag $3d_{5/2}$ and Ag 3d $_{3/2}$ peaks are 368.0 eV and 374.0 eV respectively. The difference between binding energy is 6.0 eV.

These peaks at this specific binding energy value confirm the formation of silver as Ag^0 . On the other hand, the binding energy for Hg 4f (Figure 5) shows peaks Hg 4f $_{5/2}$ at 104.2eV and Hg 4f $_{7/2}$ at 100.2 eV. The difference in binding energy of 4.03 eV corresponds to the presence of Hg alloy.



Figure 6: The wide scan XPS spectra of GS80

Element	Peak Quantified	Relative atomic concenteration (%)
Zinc	Zn 2p	1.84
Copper	Cu 2p	0.66
Oxygen	O 1s	26.20
Tin	Sn 3d	12.71
Silver	Ag 3d	4.06
Carbon	C 1s	50.17
Mercury	Hg 4f	4.36

 Table 2: The relative atomic concentration of GS80



Figure 7: The wide scan XPS spectra of Dispersalloy

Element	Peak Quantified	Relative atomic concenteration (%)
Zinc	Zn 2p	8.4
Oxygen	O 1s	22.86
Tin	Sn 3d	3.75
Silver	Ag 3d	2.76
Carbon	C 1s	59.87
Mercury	Hg 4f	2.38

Table 3: The relative atomic concentration of Dispersalloy

The wide scan spectra of GS80 amalgam and Dispersalloy are represented in Figure 6 and 7 respectively. The relative atomic concentration detailed in Table 4.12 and 4.13 for GS80 and Dispersalloy. For GS80 amalgam, the survey spectra (Figure 4.41) demonstrate photoelectron peaks of O 1s, C 1s, Sn 3d, Cu 2p, Ag 3d, Zn 2p and Hg 4f with relative atomic concentration of 26.20 %, 50.17 %, 12.71 %, 0.66 %, 4.06 %, 1.84 % and 4.36 % respectively. On the other hand, Dispersalloy amalgam shows similar photoelectron peaks but with different relative atomic percentage. It was observed that the relative atomic percentage of C 1s is 59.87 %, followed by O 1s 22.86 %, Zn 2p 8.4 %, Sn 3d 3.75 %, Ag 3d 2.76 % and Hg 4f 2.38 %. However, the percentage of copper is too low as it cannot be detected in the survey scan as it shows lots of noise in its narrow scan.

Chemical State

The binding energies of mercury in both Dispersalloy and GS80 were **less than 100 eV**, representing the **presence of unbound mercury** in the metallic state.



Figure 8: The narrow scan XPS spectra of Dispersalloy and GS 80

The binding energy for Hg (Figure 8) clearly shows peaks Hg^0 at 99.0 eV for Disperse alloy and Hg^0 at 99.4 eV for GS80. These peaks confirm the presence of unbound mercury or presences of excess Hg in both disperse alloy and GS80.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The above studies conclusively establish the following:

- There is no evidence of any excess Hg in the amalgamated SILVERFIL (Final Amalgam).
- In Dispersealloy and GS80 there is clear evidence in the presence of excess mercury in both the amalgams (final amalgam).